

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-20 are pending in the current application. Claims 1-20 currently stand rejected, and claims 1, 2, 10-13, and 17 have been amended. Reconsideration and allowance of claims 1-20 are respectfully requested in light of the preceding amendments and following remarks.

**35 U.S.C. § 102 Rejection**

Claims 1-4, 6-15, and 17-19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by US Pat 6,643,450 to deCarmo (“deCarmo”). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection for the reasons detailed below.

With regard to claim 1, Applicants initially note that the claim has been amended to recite two titles – an “**entry** title” and a “**non-entry** title” of a particular title block. Accordingly, the recited “title jump” stops reproduction of entry title video data and skips non-entry title video data altogether. The pointer types in deCarmo, however, are merely **block** and **non-block** pointers identifying parental control status, not entry position. *See* deCarmo, Col. 7, l. 59 – Col. 8, l. 8. Searching for a particular PGC in deCarmo does not stop reproduction of a PGC particularly associated with either block or non-block pointers. *See* deCarmo, Col. 8, ll. 15-22. Further, PGCs associated with non-block pointers are not skipped during the search but are instead selected and processed when matching the search criteria. *See* deCarmo, Col. 8, ll. 22-27;

FIG. 6, element 604. Thus, deCarmo lacks the recited entry and non-entry title and functionality of the same during the recited title jump.

Because deCarmo does not teach each and every element of claim 1 as amended, deCarmo cannot anticipate or render obvious claim 1. Claims 10-12 and 17 have been amended to recite apparatuses and methods configured to create or execute at least the unique features amended into claim 1 and are thus equally allowable over deCarmo. Claims 2-4, 6, 8, 9, 13-15, 18, and 19 are allowable at least for depending from an allowable base claim. Withdrawal of the rejection to claims 1-4, 6, 8-15, and 17-19 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) is respectfully requested.

35 U.S.C. § 103 Rejection

Claims 5, 16 and 20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over deCarmo. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection. As discussed above, deCarmo fails to teach or suggest each and every element of claims 1, 10-12, and 17 and cannot be modified to do so. Thus, deCarmo cannot anticipate or render obvious these claims. Claims 5, 16, and 20 are allowable at least for depending from an allowable base claim. Withdrawal of the rejection to claims 5, 16, and 20 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is respectfully requested.

**CONCLUSION**

Accordingly, in view of the above amendments and remarks, reconsideration of the objections and rejections and allowance of each of claims 1-20 in connection with the present application is earnestly solicited.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Ryan Alley at the telephone number of the undersigned below.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 08-0750 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

HARNESS, DICKEY, & PIERCE, P.L.C.

By

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ryan Alley, Reg. No. 60,977  
Gary D. Yacura, Reg. No. 35,416  
P.O. Box 8910  
Reston, Virginia 20195  
(703) 668-8000

GDY/REA: tlt